# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

### FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. NO.</u>: 3637-02 <u>BILL NO.</u>: HB 1569

**SUBJECT**: Missouri Equal Pay Act

TYPE: Original

<u>DATE</u>: January 24, 2000

# **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003
General Revenue	Could Exceed (\$100,000)	Could Exceed (\$100,000)	Could Exceed (\$100,000)
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> State Funds	Could Exceed (\$100,000)	Could Exceed (\$100,000)	Could Exceed (\$100,0000

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	
None	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. NO. 3637-02 BILL NO. HB 1569 PAGE 2 OF 4 January 24, 2000

## **FISCAL ANALYSIS**

#### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials of the **Department of Labor and Industrial Relations** and the **Office of Administration - Division of Personnel** assume there would be no fiscal impact to their agencies as a result of the proposal.

Officials of the **Office of State Courts Administrator (CTS)** assume they have no basis to predict any change in the volume of civil litigation under the proposal, so costs are unknown.

**Oversight** notes that in response to similar legislation in the prior session, CTS officials assumed the impact of the proposal on the workload of the courts would depend upon how the proposal was implemented, and the extent to which all employers respond to the requirements of the law. Provisions for recovery of attorney fees may tend to encourage litigation. CTS would expect that if civil caseloads increased by about 160 civil trials, the increased clerical workload on the circuit courts would cost the state in excess of \$100,000 per year. CTS cannot predict how many new civil cases would be filed, but noted it would not be unreasonable to conclude costs could exceed \$100,000 per year.

**Oversight** assumes that this proposal is essentially an extension of the Federal Equal Pay Act of 1963 and that state departments and local governments would already be in substantial compliance with the provisions of this proposal. The unknown costs could be as a result of an increased workload on the state courts from non-compliance to the provisions of this proposal in the private sector.

	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003
FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	(10  Mo.)		

#### **GENERAL REVENUE**

|--|

Increased caseload	Could Exceed (\$100,000)	Could Exceed (\$100,000)	Could Exceed (\$100,000)
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2001 (10 Mo.)	FY 2002	FY 2003
	\$0	\$0	\$0

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

PLH:LR:OD:005 (9-94)

L.R. NO. 3637-02 BILL NO. HB 1569 PAGE 3 OF 4 January 24, 2000

Small business in violation of the Missouri Equal Pay Act could be fiscally impacted.

#### **DESCRIPTION**

This bill enacts the Missouri Equal Pay Act. In its main provisions, the bill:

- (1) Makes it an unlawful employment practice for employers to discriminate between employees on the basis of sex, race, or national origin in the payment of wages for work in substantially equivalent jobs;
- (2) Makes it an unlawful employment practice for employers to retaliate against employees who utilize the protections of this act;
- (3) Clarifies wage payment differentials that will not be considered an unlawful employment practice;
- (4) Prohibits employers from reducing wages to comply with this act;
- (5) Requires employers to maintain records on their wage rate calculations and wage rate payments to employees for a period of two years and to provide employees a written statement and access to their employment file;
- (6) Lists the remedies available to employees for violations of this act; and
- (7) Requires that actions be instituted within two years of the last violation and specifies that an employer's liability for back wages can extend to two years immediately prior to the date that action is brought.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

#### SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Labor and Industrial Relations

PLH:LR:OD:005 (9-94)

L.R. NO. 3637-02 BILL NO. HB 1569 PAGE 4 OF 4 January 24, 2000

Office of Administration - Division of Personnel Office of State Courts Administrator

Jeanne Jarrett, CPA

Director

January 24, 2000